	Case 2:21-cv-00760-TLN-AC Document	t 55 Filed 08	3/28/25	Page 1 of 2	
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10					
11	MAURICE POINDEXTER,				
12	Plaintiff,	No. 2:21-cv	-0760 TL	N AC P	
13	V.				
14	LYNCH, et al.,	ORDER			
15	Defendants.				
16		1			
17	Plaintiff Maurice Poindexter ("Plaintiff"), a state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed this				
18	civil rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United				
19	States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.				
20	On June 16, 2025, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations which were				
21	served on all parties and which contained notice that any objections to the findings and				
22	recommendations were to be filed within twenty-one (21) days. (ECF No. 54.) This deadline has				
23	passed, and no objections have been filed.				
24	The Court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United States, 602				
25	F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed de novo.				
26 27	See Robbins v. Carey, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[D]eterminations of law by the				
2728	magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court[.]"). Having reviewed the file, the Court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by				
40	Traving reviewed the the, the Court finds the	1	ommenda	nons to be supported by	

	Case 2:21-cv-00760-TLN-AC Document 55 Filed 08/28/25 Page 2 of 2				
1	the record and by the proper analysis.				
2	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:				
3	1. The findings and recommendations, (ECF No. 54), are ADOPTED in full;				
4	2. Defendants' motion for partial summary judgement, (ECF No. 48), is GRANTED in part				
5	and DENIED in part, as follows:				
6	a. GRANTED as to Plaintiff's First Amendment retaliation claim against Defendan				
7	Moreno, Navarro, and Elizarraras;				
8	b. DENIED as to Plaintiff's Eighth Amendment excessive force claims against				
9	Defendants Moreno and Nguyen; and				
10	c. DENIED as to Plaintiff's Eighth Amendment failure to protect claim against				
11	Nguyen.				
12	d. The case proceeds on Plaintiff's Eighth Amendment excessive force and failure to				
13	protect claims against defendants Assaad, Elizarraras, Moreno, Navarro, and				
14	Nguyen.				
15	3. This matter is referred back to the assigned magistrate judge for further proceedings.				
16	Date: August 27, 2025				
17					
18	Tung All				
19					
20	TROY L. NÜNLEY CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE				
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					